TYPES OF SYNTACTIC RELATIONS IN A SENTENCE

Jizzakh branch of the National University of

Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek

The faculty of Psychology, department of Foreign languages

Phylology and foreign languages

Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi

*Student of group 301-21:***Makhmudova Adolat Rakhim qizi**

Annotation: *The various syntactic interactions that influence sentence form in natural languages are examined in this article. We want to shed light on how meaning is constructed within sentences by examining components and their relationships. We go over the importance of key syntactic relationships for understanding language processing, such as subject-verb, verb-object, and modifiers.*

Keywords: *Syntactic relations, constituents, sentence structure, subject-verb agreement, modifiers, phrase structure, transformational grammar, dependency grammar.*

Syntax is the study of how words fit together to form sentences and phrases. The relationships between a sentence's elements that determine how meaning is expressed are known as syntactic relations. The main categories of syntactic relations will be described in this article along with examples and a discussion of how they are used to build sentences. The two main categories of syntactic relations between phrase elements are government and agreement.

Agreement arises when a subordinate word adopts the form of the word to which it belongs, creating formal relationships inside the phrase. The pronouns "this" and "that," which match their head noun in number (e.g., "that chair" vs. "those chairs"), are the main examples of the relatively narrow scope of agreement in modern English. There is disagreement over whether the verb and the noun or pronoun that designates the action's subject agree (for example, "Jack is eating" versus "Jack and Jenny are eating")this topic is up for debate. It is unclear if the

verb's number indicates the singular or plural character of the doer(s) or whether the verb is plural because the subject noun is plural, suggesting that the verb is completely subordinate to the noun.In modern English, there are certain examples that imply the verb does not necessarily match the noun in terms of number. Sentences such as "The United States is a democracy" and "The police have arrived too late," for instance, exhibit distinct patterns of agreement.

Government takes place when a subordinate word is utilized in a way that is different from the head word's and is determined by the head word. The role of governance in modern English is almost as small as that of agreement. Whether the object is a personal pronoun or the pronoun "who," government is defined as the relationship between a verb and its object, in which the verb serves as the governing element (e.g., "to rely on him," "to be proud of her"). Although government and agreement are thought to be the main ways to represent syntactic links, adjoinment and enclosure are two unique ways to communicate these interactions within a phrase.

Adjoinment is marked by the absence of both consensus and governance. In this situation, various components create syntactic units without changing their structures. A typical instance of adjoinment is the combination of an adverb with a main word, as seen in "to nod silently" or "to act cautiously." An adverb can solely link with its main word this way, as it does not possess grammatical features that would allow it to agree with or be governed by another term. While adjoinment is prevalent in Russian, enclosure is a defining feature of Modern English. Enclosure consists of inserting an element between two sections of another constituent within a phrase, thereby enclosing it between those segments.

Placing an attribute between the article (or determiner) and the head noun (e.g., "a pretty face," "your perfect man," "one good essay") is the most prevalent kind of enclosure. Adjectives and nouns are not the only words that can hold this position. The adverb "then" is placed between the article and the noun in "the then president," for example, suggesting that it is an attribute of the noun. The word "go-to-devil" is enclosed between the article and the noun it modifies in the phrase

"a go-to-devil expression," demonstrating the syntactic relationships within the phrase.

Importance of Understanding Syntactic Relations in Linguistics

For a number of reasons, it is essential to comprehend syntactic relations. In the first place, it makes language learning easier by helping students understand the structure and meaning of phrases. Second, it sheds light on how the brain arranges and processes linguistic information, offering insights into the cognitive processes involved in language use. It also facilitates the analysis of linguistic structures in several languages, exposing commonalities and distinctive features. This information is fundamental to several disciplines, such as language education, which influences teaching strategies; psycholinguistics, which investigates the psychological elements of language; and computational linguistics, which creates algorithms for language processing.

Conclusion

To understand the construction and interpretation of sentences, one must have a solid understanding of syntactic relations. Essential relation types, including subject-verb, verb-object, modifiers, coordination, subordination, and dependency, have been covered in this article, emphasizing their importance in both linguistic theory and real-world language use. Here's a breakdown of their significance:

1. Meaning and Clarity

In order for speakers and listeners to comprehend the intended meaning of a sentence, syntactic relations make sure that it is logically arranged. It is easier to understand who is doing what to whom and how when we consider the relationships between subjects, verbs, objects, and complements. The subject-predicate relation makes it abundantly evident that "the cat" is carrying out the action ("chased") and that "the mouse" is the object of the action in the phrase "The cat chased the mouse," for instance.

2. Clarification

Sentence ambiguity can be resolved with the use of syntactic relations. The meaning of a phrase is determined by the arrangement and connections between

its words. For example, in the sentence "I saw the man with the telescope," syntactic relations make it clear whether the man had a telescope or the speaker used one to observe the man.Speakers can guarantee clarity and prevent misunderstandings by knowing the relationships between the various parts of a sentence.

3. Efficient Interaction

Syntactic linkages facilitate more effective communication by helping to arrange ideas. The arrangement of subjects, verbs, objects, and complements in a sentence aids readers or listeners in understanding the information's flow.Misunderstandings may result from communication that is fractured due to unclear syntactic linkages.

4. Complexity and Flexibility

Complex and diverse sentence kinds can be constructed thanks to syntactic relations. Speakers can communicate a variety of thoughts and convey more complex meanings by using modifiers, subordination (embedding clauses), and coordination (joining clauses).More complex and detailed communication is made possible by this flexibility, such as the capacity to include conditions, justifications, or explanations in a single statement.

Building grammatically sound, meaningful sentences requires an understanding of syntactic relations. They give communication organization, clarity, and structure so that readers or listeners can correctly understand what speakers are trying to say. Effective communication and linguistic analysis both depend on an understanding of syntactic relations, underscoring their significance in language theory, sentence construction, and language learning.

REFERENCES and WEBSITES

1. Abels, K. 2003. "Successive cyclicity, anti-locality, and adposition stranding," PhD thesis, University of Connecticut, Storrs.

2. Aoun, J. and Sportiche, D.. 1983. "On the formal theory of government," The Linguistic Review 2: 211–236.Google Scholar

3. Avelar, J. 2004. "Dinâmicas Morofssintáticas com Ter, Ser e Estar em Português Brasileiro," MA thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas.

4. Baker, M. 1988. Incorporation. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.Google ScholarPubMed

5. Belletti, A. 1988. "The case of unaccusatives," Linguistic Inquiry 19: 1–34.Google Scholar

6. Berwick, R. 1980. "Computational analogues of constraints on grammars: A model of syntactic acquisition," in 18th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics.

7. Berwick, R. and Weinberg, A.. 1984. The grammatical basis of linguistic performance: Language use and acquisition. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.Google Scholar

8. Bhatt, R. 2005. "Long distance agreement in Hindi-Urdu," Natural Language & Linguistic Theory 23: 757–807.CrossRefGoogle Scholar

9. Bobaljik, J. D. 1995a. "Morphosyntax: The syntax of verbal inflection," PhD thesis, MIT, Cambridge.

10. Bobaljik, J. D. 1995. "In terms of Merge: Copy and head movement," MIT Working Papers in Linguistics 27: 41–64.Google Scholar

11. Teshaboyeva, N., & Mamayoqubova, S. (2020). COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH TO LANGUAGE TEACHING. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 409-414).

12.Teshaboyeva, N. (2020). LINGUISTIC PERSONALITY, ITS STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE NEW PERSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 415-420).

13.Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2019). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH LITERATURE INTESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. In СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТЕХНОЛОГИИ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИИ (pp. 82-84).

14.Хидирова, Д., & Тешабоева, Н. (2022). Pedagogical conditions for the development of the healthy thinking in students. Zamonaviy innovatsion tadqiqotlarning dolzarb muammolari va rivojlanish tendensiyalari: yechimlar va istiqbollar, 1(1), 120-122.

15.Gaybullayeva, N. D. K., & Kizi, T. N. Z. (2022). THE ROLE OF INNOVATIVE METHODS FOR LISTENING COMPREHENSION IN TEACHING LANGUAGE LEARNERS FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND MAINLY ENGLISH. *Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS)*, *2*(10), 8-10.

16.Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi, Jurayev Muhammadrahim Murod o'g'li, & Mamirova Munisa Rajab qizi. (2021). Language Learning Culturally and the Role of Literature in Teaching Process. *Central Asian Journal of Theoretical and Applied Science*, *2*(3), 1-5. Retrieved from https://www.cajotas.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJOTAS/article/view/84

17.Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF TOURISM IN PRESENT DAY. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).

18.Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE MODERN INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGES. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).

19.Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Adjective word group and its types. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 59-61).

20.Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Modifications of Consonants in Connected speech. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 7-9).

21.Teshaboyeva, N., & Rayimberdiyev, S. (2023, May). THE IMPORTANCE OF USING MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHING ENGLISH CLASSES. In Academic International Conference on Multi-Disciplinary Studies and Education (Vol. 1, No. 8, pp. 149-153).

22.Nafisa, T., & Marina, S. (2023). TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ENGLISH VOCABULARY IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 465-469.