IMPORTANCE OF PRAGMATICS AND RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPEECH AT THEORY AND DISCOURSE ANALYSIS

Jizzakh branch of the National University of

Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek

The faculty of Psychology, department of Foreign languages

Phylology and foreign languages

Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi

*Student of group 301-21:***Makhmudova Adolat Rakhim qizi**

Annotation: *By analyzing how pragmatics, speech act theory, and discourse analysis are interwoven, this article emphasizes their significance in understanding human communication. Pragmatics examines how environment influences meaning, while speech act theory groups utterances based on their functions. Discourse analysis looks at language use at a level above sentences, with a focus on social interactions and structures. This article reviews pertinent literature and provides examples of practical applications to highlight the importance of these theories in a variety of fields, including linguistics, education, and technology.*

Keywords: *Pragmatics, Speech Act Theory, Discourse Analysis, Communication, Context, Illocutionary Acts, Conversation Analysis, Critical Discourse Analysis, Language Use, Social Interaction.*

Pragmatics is a significant area of linguistics that examines how communication context influences meaning interpretation. Unlike semantics, which focuses on the literal meanings of words, pragmatics examines how speakers and listeners navigate the complexities of language use in social interactions. Two crucial aspects of pragmatics are examined in this article: speech act theory and discourse analysis. Philosophers John Searle and J.L. Austin were the first to propose the speech act theory, which categorizes utterances as acts that convey intents as opposed to merely assertions. Discourse analysis

examines language in context to investigate the construction and contestation of meaning in communication. This article aims to elucidate these concepts and their applications in real-world scenarios.

Pragmatics: An Overview

Pragmatics studies the relationship between language and its users, emphasizing how meaning is shaped by context. Key concepts include:

➢ **Context:** A statement's surrounding social and situational factors that influence how it is understood.

➢ **Inference** is the process by which listeners deduce meanings, demonstrating how language works beyond literal interpretations. Pragmatics is important because it highlights how meaning is context-dependent and dynamic, and it exposes the nuances of communication.

Theory of Speech Acts

Speech act theory examines how words are capable of more than merely conveying information. Searle created three categories of speech actions based on Austin's idea that speaking is frequently an action in and of itself:

A. The creation of sounds or words with a focus on literal meaning is known as *locutionary activities.*

B. *Illocutionary Acts:* People express their intended meaning when they ask for something, make an offer, or make a commitment.

C. *Perlocutionary acts* are the methods by which an audience is persuaded or convinced by a statement.

Understanding these behaviors makes it easier to analyze communication in a variety of contexts and reveals the subtleties of meaning in both formal and informal interactions.

Discourse analysis examines language use at a level above sentences, concentrating on the forms of spoken and written communication. The following are important strategies:

The structure of discussions, including pauses, repairs, and turn-taking, is examined via conversation analysis.

Critical discourse analysis, or CDA, is the study of how discourse affects society power structures, ideologies, and biases.

Discourse analysis provides tools for understanding how language constructs social realities, highlighting the importance of context in meaning interpretation.

Intersection of Speech Act Theory and Discourse Analysis

The convergence of speech act theory and discourse analysis enhances our understanding of communication. While speech act theory focuses on individual utterances, discourse analysis situates individual claims within broader social interactions. Analyzing a political speech from both angles, for instance, demonstrates how language influences public opinion and shapes meaning.

Practical Applications

These theories have a number of practical applications: In education: Enhancing students' pragmatic skills to enhance language teaching. In psychology: Assisting in the understanding of communication challenges and social interactions. In artificial intelligence and technology: Helping to develop natural language processing systems that can recognize the context and intent of communications.

Challenges and Future Directions

Pragmatics and discourse analysis are challenged by the need for cross-cultural understanding and the rapid linguistic growth in digital communication. Future research might look at how emerging technologies impact language use and communication dynamics, with a focus on multidisciplinary approaches.

Conclusion

The area of linguistics known as pragmatics examines how communication context affects how meaning is interpreted. Here's a breakdown of their significance:

● Contextual Knowledge: Pragmatics enables us to comprehend that the context in which words are employed frequently determines their meaning rather than just the words themselves. For instance, "Can you pass the salt?" is a

polite request in most social situations rather than merely a question regarding one's capacity to pass the salt. We are able to decipher such suggested meanings thanks to pragmatics.

● Social norms and politeness:Understanding politeness techniques like indirectness and the use of formal and informal language is also greatly aided by pragmatics. These techniques aid in negotiating relationships, social hierarchies, and cultural standards. For example, the manner in which a request is made (directly versus indirectly) can reveal cultural differences in power relations, familiarity, and respect.

When it comes to comprehending how meaning is created in communication, Speech Act Theory and Discourse Analysis are both essential elements of pragmatics. Despite their differences, they are closely related. Coherence and illocutionary force: Speech acts in discourse can make a conversation more coherent by meaningfully connecting statements. Whether through subject management, turn-taking, or the use of specific markers (such as "but," "because," or "so") that indicate distinct speech actions and logical links, discourse analysis frequently examines how these acts cooperate to produce coherence in communication.

● Contextual factors: Discourse analysis and speech act theory both stress how crucial context is to comprehending meaning. According to speech act theory, context has a significant impact on an utterance's illocutionary force, or the intended action, such as a request or promise.Discourse analysis studies the ways in which context, both immediate (the current discussion) and more general (social, cultural, and historical), influences the interpretation of speech acts and the construction of meaning in continuing discourse.

All things considered, pragmatics is essential to comprehending how language works in context, particularly with regard to speech acts, politeness techniques, and contextual meaning. Two important frameworks in pragmatics that examine how language constructs meaning are Speech Act Theory and Discourse Analysis. Discourse analysis looks at how language's performative

roles in communication are achieved within the context of larger texts and conversations, whereas speech act theory concentrates on these functions. When combined, these two methods provide a thorough grasp of how language functions as a dynamic process of interaction in everyday communication rather than only at the level of individual words or sentences.

REFERENCES

1.Anscombre, Jean-Claude and Oswald Ducrot 1983 L'argumentation dans la langue.

2.Bruxelles: Mardaga. Bilange, Eric 1992 Modélisation du dialogue oral finalisé personne-machine par une approche structurelle. Théorie et réalisation. Paris: Hermès.

3.Blakemore, Diane 1987 Semantic Constraints on Relevance. Oxford: Blackwell. 4.Brassac, Christian 1992 S'engager en conversation. Thèse de doctorat. Nancy: Université de Nancy 2. 1994 "Actes de langage et enchaînement conversationnel". Cahiers d'épistémologie 9401. Montréal: UQAM.

5. Charolles, Michel 1988 "Les études sur la cohérence, la cohésion et la connexité textuelle depuis la fin des années 1960". Modèles Linguistiques 10/2. 45-66.

6.Dascal, Marcelo 1992 "On the pragmatic structure of conversation. In John R. Searle et al., (On) Searle on Conversation. Amsterdam: Benjamins.35-56.

7. Dijk, Teun A. van 1977 Text and Context. Explorations in the Semantics and Pragmatics of Discourse. London: Longman.

8.Gazdar, Gerald 1979 Pragmatics. Implicature, Presupposition and Logical Form. New York: Academic Press.

9. Geis, Michael L. and Arnold Zwicky 1971   "On invited inferences". Linguistic Inquiry 2. 561-6.

10.Grice, H.Paul 1975 "Logic and conversation". In Peter Cole and Jerry L. Morgan (eds.), Syntax and Semantics 3: Speech Acts. New York: Academic Press. 41-58.

11. Teshaboyeva, N., & Mamayoqubova, S. (2020). COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH TO LANGUAGE TEACHING. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 409-414).

12.Teshaboyeva, N. (2020). LINGUISTIC PERSONALITY, ITS STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE NEW PERSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 415-420).

13.Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2019). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH LITERATURE INTESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. In СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТЕХНОЛОГИИ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИИ (pp. 82-84).

14.Хидирова, Д., & Тешабоева, Н. (2022). Pedagogical conditions for the development of the healthy thinking in students. Zamonaviy innovatsion tadqiqotlarning dolzarb muammolari va rivojlanish tendensiyalari: yechimlar va istiqbollar, 1(1), 120-122.

15.Gaybullayeva, N. D. K., & Kizi, T. N. Z. (2022). THE ROLE OF INNOVATIVE METHODS FOR LISTENING COMPREHENSION IN TEACHING LANGUAGE LEARNERS FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND MAINLY ENGLISH. Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS), 2(10), 8-10.

16.Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi, Jurayev Muhammadrahim Murod o'g'li, & Mamirova Munisa Rajab qizi. (2021). Language Learning Culturally and the Role of Literature in Teaching Process. Central Asian Journal of Theoretical and Applied Science, 2(3), 1-5. Retrieved from https://www.cajotas.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJOTAS/article/view/84

17.Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF TOURISM IN PRESENT DAY. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).

18.Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE MODERN INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGES. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).

19.Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Adjective word group and its types. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 59-61).

20.Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Modifications of Consonants in Connected speech. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 7-9).

21.Teshaboyeva, N., & Rayimberdiyev, S. (2023, May). THE IMPORTANCE OF USING MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHING ENGLISH CLASSES. In Academic International Conference on Multi-Disciplinary Studies and Education (Vol. 1, No. 8, pp. 149-153).

22.Nafisa, T., & Marina, S. (2023). TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ENGLISH VOCABULARY IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 465-469.