THE INTERACTION BETWEEN PHONETICS AND MORPHOLOGY IN ENGLISH

Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi

Scientific advisor: Jizzakh branch of the National University named after Mirzo Ulugbek The Faculty of Psychology, the department of Foreign languages Philology and foreign languages

Abduvakhobova Nodirabegim Abdivakhid qizi

Student of group 301-21

Abstract: *Phonetics and morphology are fundamental aspects of linguistics that, though often studied independently, are deeply intertwined in shaping the structure of a language. Phonetics is concerned with the sounds used in speech, investigating how they are produced, transmitted, and perceived, while morphology examines the internal structure of words, focusing on how smaller meaningful units, known as morphemes, combine to form complex words and phrases.*

Key words: *Free morphemes, alternation, Final consonant deletion.*

The interaction between these two branches is especially important in English, as it helps explain how sounds and word structures influence one another in both systematic and unpredictable ways. This article explores how phonetics and morphology interact in English, highlighting their combined role in shaping language.To fully appreciate their interaction, we must first understand what phonetics and morphology are and how they operate in linguistic analysis. Phonetics studies the physical properties of speech sounds and is typically divided into three branches:

- Articulatory phonetics, which examines how speech sounds are produced by the articulatory organs.

- Acoustic phonetics, which looks at the transmission of sound waves through the air.

- Auditory phonetics, which studies how humans perceive these sounds. Phonetics is critical in language because it determines how words are articulated and how various sound patterns convey meaning. In English, the arrangement of consonants and vowels within syllables, the stress patterns of words, and the intonation of sentences all depend on phonetic rules. Morphology, in contrast, is concerned with the structure of words and how they are formed from smaller meaningful units called morphemes. Morphemes can be categorized into two types:

- Free morphemes, which stand alone as independent words (e.g., "run," "book").

- Bound morphemes, which must attach to free morphemes to create meaning (e.g., prefixes like "un-" or suffixes like "-ing"). Morphological processes in English include **inflection**, which adjusts words to indicate grammatical features such as tense, number, or case, **derivation**, which creates new words through the addition of affixes, and **compounding**, where two or more free morphemes combine to form a new word. In English, the phonetic and morphological elements of language often work together, influencing each other in various ways. Phonological processes can shape the attachment of morphemes, and morphological structures can impact the phonetic realization of words. This complex relationship provides insight into how language evolves and functions. One key way phonetics and morphology interact is through **morphophonemic alternation**, where the pronunciation of a word or morpheme changes depending on its morphological form or surrounding phonetic context. These alternations reflect the influence of neighboring sounds and historical changes in the language. Some common types of morphophonemic alternations in English include:

1. Vowel Alternation:

A common example is vowel shifts that occur in verbs in different tenses. For instance, the verb "sing" changes to "sang" in the past tense, with the vowel sound undergoing a shift. Similarly, verbs like "sleep" (present) and "slept" (past) also show vowel alternation.

2. Consonant Alternation:

Consonant changes occur in some morphological forms due to phonetic rules. A frequent example is **voicing assimilation**, where consonants change to match the voicing of adjacent sounds. The plural suffix "-s" is pronounced as [s] after voiceless sounds, such as in "cats," but as [z] after voiced sounds, as in "dogs."

3. Final Consonant Deletion:

In casual speech, some morphemes may cause the deletion of final consonants. For example, the plural morpheme "-s" might result in the dropping of a final consonant, as in the informal pronunciation of "bags" as [bæz] instead of [bægz]. Phonetic reductions, often observed in rapid or informal speech, play a significant role in the morphological structure of words. These reductions simplify or shorten words, often creating new forms in the process. Contractions, such as "don't" for "do not" or "I'm" for "I am," involve the merging of morphemes into shorter forms. Phonetically, the final consonants of one morpheme may be dropped or blended with the initial sound of the next morpheme to facilitate faster speech. Clipping refers to the process of shortening longer words for convenience in speech, typically in informal contexts. For instance, "advertisement" is often shortened to "ad," and "telephone" becomes "phone." In such cases, the morphological structure is affected by the truncation of syllables. Elision involves the deletion of sounds, often vowels, to make speech quicker and more fluid. For example, in casual speech, "interesting" may be pronounced as "intresting," where the unstressed vowel sounds are omitted, affecting the phonetic form of the word. Phonetic shifts can influence the development of morphological rules over time. As the phonetic properties of a language change, the morphological system may adapt to simplify or modify itself

in response. For example, the English language has a relatively simple system of inflections, which contrasts with the more complex systems found in languages like Russian or Latin. Phonetic changes, such as the reduction of vowels or the deletion of final consonants, have simplified English inflectional forms over time. Historical phonological changes, such as the Great Vowel Shift, which altered the pronunciation of many vowels in English between the 14th and 18th centuries, have also impacted the morphology of the language. These shifts disrupted the consistency of vowel-based morphemes, contributing to the simplification of English's morphological inflection patterns. While some morphological processes in English are systematic, others exhibit irregularities. Regular morphological patterns follow predictable phonological rules, while irregular forms deviate from these patterns, often reflecting the language's historical evolution. Regular forms in English, such as plural nouns and past tense verbs, are governed by specific phonological rules. For instance, the past tense morpheme "-ed" is pronounced in three different ways depending on the final sound of the base verb:

- /d/ after voiced sounds (e.g., "played" [pleɪd]),

- /t/ after voiceless sounds (e.g., "walked" [wɔːkt]),

- /ɪd/ after words ending in "t" or "d" (e.g., "needed" [niːdɪd]).

Similarly, the plural morpheme "-s" changes its pronunciation based on the voicing of the preceding sound, becoming /s/ after voiceless consonants (e.g., "cats") and /z/ after voiced consonants (e.g., "dogs").

Irregular forms, in contrast, do not follow predictable phonetic patterns. For example, the verb "go" becomes "went" in the past tense, and "child" becomes "children" in the plural form, both of which involve vowel shifts. These irregularities often arise from historical developments in the language and represent remnants of older morphological systems that have resisted change despite shifts in phonetics. The persistence of irregular forms highlights the complex relationship between phonetics and morphology. It also illustrates how language evolves, balancing between regularity and irregularity based on historical and phonological factors.The interaction between phonetics and

morphology in English provides a rich area of study that demonstrates the dynamic and adaptive nature of language. Phonetic processes influence the realization of morphemes in spoken language, and morphological structures, in turn, shape the phonetic form of words. From vowel alternations and consonant assimilation to the reduction of sounds in casual speech, these interactions are crucial for understanding both the structure and history of English. The examination of these phonetic and morphological relationships also offers valuable insights into the ongoing evolution of the language, revealing how sound and structure continuously adapt to the needs of its speakers.

REFERENCES

1. Teshaboyeva, N., & Mamayoqubova, S. (2020). COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH TO LANGUAGE TEACHING. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 409-414).

2. Teshaboyeva, N. (2020). LINGUISTIC PERSONALITY, ITS STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE NEW PERSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 415-420).

3. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2019). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH LITERATURE INTESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. In СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТЕХНОЛОГИИ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИИ (pp. 82-84).

4. Хидирова, Д., & Тешабоева, Н. (2022). Pedagogical conditions for the development of the healthy thinking in students. Zamonaviy innovatsion tadqiqotlarning dolzarb muammolari va rivojlanish tendensiyalari: yechimlar va istiqbollar, 1(1), 120-122.

5. Gaybullayeva, N. D. K., & Kizi, T. N. Z. (2022). THE ROLE OF INNOVATIVE METHODS FOR LISTENING COMPREHENSION IN TEACHING LANGUAGE LEARNERS FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND MAINLY ENGLISH. Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS), 2(10), 8-10.

6. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi, Jurayev Muhammadrahim Murod o'g'li, & Mamirova Munisa Rajab qizi. (2021). Language Learning Culturally and the Role of Literature in Teaching Process. Central Asian Journal of Theoretical and Applied Science, 2(3), 1-5. Retrieved from https://www.cajotas.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJOTAS/article/view/84

7. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF TOURISM IN PRESENT DAY. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).

8. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE MODERN INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGES. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).

9. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Adjective word group and its types. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 59-61).