ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ НАУКА И ИННОВАЦИОННЫЕ ИДЕИ В МИРЕ



EFFECTIVENESS OF ENDOSCOPIC SEPTOPLASTY IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF NASAL SEPTAL DEFORMITIES: OUR EXPERIENCE WITH NOSE EVALUATION

Nurmatovoa Aziza Kozimovna

Rustamov Azizbek Akbarovich

Abstract. In rhinologic practice, septal abnormalities are a common complaint and the most common cause of nasal obstruction. The use of the endoscope to treat septal abnormalities has grown in popularity since Lanza et al.'s 1991 initial presentation. This study aims to assess the efficacy of endoscopic septoplasty in correcting each of the seven categories of septal abnormalities identified by Mladina's classification. As far as we are aware, this is the first study to analyze objective results obtained before and after surgery, as well as subjective results obtained from the validated NOSE questionnaire, in order to assess the remedial ability of this procedure for each aberration. Even if endoscopic septoplasty is currently regarded as a dependable substitute for the traditional method, the optimal treatment option must be provided by correctly identifying the deformity prior to surgery.

Key words: Endoscopic septoplasty, Septal deviation, NOSE scale, Septal deformities, Cottle's area

Introduction.

The most frequent cause of nasal obstruction is septal deviations, which are a prevalent complaint in rhinologic practice. Procedures for correcting nasal septal abnormalities have changed since they were first introduced, ranging from extreme septal resection to the potential retention of the nasal mucosa and septal framework. Septal abnormalities are often linked to or the cause of disorders of the lateral wall. Due to contact points with lateral nasal wall structures, a markedly deviated nasal

38



septum has been linked to headaches, sinusitis, obstructive sleep apnea, and epistaxis (1).

Because of this, treating lateral wall abnormalities when they occur is inextricably linked to correcting septal defects. Endoscopic septoplasty is therefore a helpful method for both addressing symptomatic abnormalities and enhancing intraoperative surgical access to lateral nasal wall procedures (e.g. functional endoscopic sinus surgery, dacryocystorhinostomy) (2,3).

Since the first description by Lanza et al. in 1991, the use of the endoscope for the correction of septal deformities is increasingly more frequent (4).

Compared to a traditional method, there is growing support in the literature for endoscopic septoplasty. However, no author has examined the effectiveness of endoscopic repair while taking into account all kinds of septal abnormalities to date. Mladina developed a comprehensive categorization of septal abnormalities more than 20 years ago. It proposed seven distinct categories of deformity and accurately defined clinical symptoms at the nasal septum (5,6).

This study aims to assess the efficacy of endoscopic septoplasty in correcting each of the seven categories of septal abnormalities identified by Mladina's classification.

Materials and methods.

18 consecutive patients who presented to our department for endoscopic septoplasty during a 30-month period (February 2024 to August 2024) had their charts reviewed retrospectively. The patients, who ranged in age from 18 to 69, had a mean age of 34.9 years and included 8 girls and 10 males. At least 17 years of age, septal deformity with nasal obstruction, and persistent symptoms following at least 4 weeks of treatment—including topical nasal steroids alone or in combination with antihistamines—were requirements for inclusion. The study excluded patients with sinonasal malignancy, those who required nasal surgery other than septoplasty (functional endoscopic sinus surgery, or FESS), nasal valve surgery, turbinate surgery, etc.), as well as those who had sinonasal infections or sinonasal inflammatory illness.

39



Preoperative evaluation of all patients was done using paranasal sinus computed tomography (CT) (120 kV, 215 mA s, 1 mm slice thickness), because the presenting symptoms of patients may indicate certain types of rhinosinusitis (chronic or acute



recurrent

forms).

Fig.1.The seven types of septal deviations proposed by Mladina. In the first column, CT scans processed with OsiriX program are shown; the second column shows schematic illustrations for each deviation.

To prevent mucosal injury during packing removal, mucosal flaps were always put back in place and secured with a silastic stent. After 48 hours, nasal packing (Merocel, Medtronic, Mystic, CT, USA) was taken out of both nasal fossae. Typically, patients were released within 48 hours. Following surgery, all patients got a week of oral cephalosporin, saline nasal douching, and oral steroids at progressively lower dosages.

Results.

Type 5 was the most common deviation observed (23.7%, 14 cases); types 3 and 6 were also relatively common (20.3%, 12 cases, and 18.6%, 11 cases, respectively);



types 2 and 1 were observed equally frequently (13.5%, 8 cases, and 11.8%, 7 cases, respectively); and types 4 and 7 were uncommon (6.7%, 4 cases, and 5%, 3 cases, respectively). The patient cohort was split based on the Mladina classification.

Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that the type of deviation affects the corrective power of endoscopic septoplasty. Even if endoscopic septoplasty might be regarded as a trustworthy substitute for conventional methods, choosing the best surgical approach requires accurately determining the kind of deformity prior to surgery. To more precisely evaluate the indications and limitations of endoscopic-assisted septoplasty in all forms of deviation, longer-term follow-up and bigger series are required.

References

1.Pannu KK, Chadha, Kaur IP. Evaluation of benefits of nasal septal surgery on nasal symptoms and general health. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2009;61:59-65.

2.Ahmadian A, Fathi Kazerooni A, Mohagheghi S, et al. A region-based anatomical landmark configuration for sinus surgery using image guided navigation system: a phantom-study. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2014;42:816-24.

3.Chang M, Lee H, Park M, et al. Long-term outcomes of endoscopic endonasal conjunctivodacryocystorhinostomy with Jones tube placement: a thirteen-year experience. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2015;43:7-10.

4.Lanza DC, Kennedy DW, Zinreich SJ. Nasal endoscopic and its surgical applications. Lee KJ. ed. Essential otolaryngology, head and neck surgery. New York (NY): Medical Examination Publisching Co.; 1991. p. 373-87.

5.Mladina R. The role of maxillar morphology in the development of pathological septal deformities. Rhinology 1987;25:199-205.

6.Mladina R, Cujić E, Subarić M, et al. Nasal septal deformities in ear, nose, and throat patients: an international study. Am J Otolaryngol 2008;29:75-82.



7.Killian G. Die submucose Fensterresektion der Nasenscheidewand. Archivies fur Laryngologie und Rhinologie 1904;16:363.

8.Freer O. The correction of nasal septum with a minimum of traumatism. JAMA 1902;38:636.

9.Jammet P, Souyris F, Klersy F, et al. The value of Cottle's technic for esthetic and functional correction of the nose. Ann Chir Plast Esthet 1989;34:38-41.

10.Nayak DR, Balakrishnan R, Murty KD, et al. Endoscopicseptoturbinoplasty: our update series. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2002;54:20-4.

11.Lanza DC, Moran DT, Doty RL, et al. Endoscopic human olfactory biopsy technique: a preliminary report. Laryngoscope 1993;103:815-9.

12.Giles WC, Gross CW, Abram AC, et al. Endoscopic septoplasty. Laryngoscope 1994;104:1507-9.

13.Cantrell H. Limited septoplasty for endoscopic sinus surgery. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1997;116:274-7.

14. Yanagisawa E, Joe J. Endoscopic septoplasty. Ear Nose Throat J 1997;76:622-3.

15.Toffel PH. Depth of field image video enhancement for endoscopic sinus surgery. Ear Nose Throat J 1998;77:549-51.

16.Hwang PH, McLaughlin RB, Lanza DC, et al. Endoscopic septoplasty: indications, technique, and results. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1999;120:678-82.

17.Bothra R, Mathur NN. Comparative evaluation of conventional versus endoscopic septoplasty for limited septal deviation and spur. J Laryngol Otol 2009;123:737-41.



Выпуск журнала №-61 Часть-5_ Январь -2025



18.Skitarelic NB, Vukovic K, Skitarelic NP. Comparative evaluation of conventional versus endoscopic septoplasty for limited septal deviation and spur. J Laryngol Otol 2009;123:939-40.

19.Gulati SP, Wadhera R, Ahuja N, et al. Comparative evaluation of endoscopic with conventional septoplasty. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2009;61:27-9.

20.Paradis J, Rotenberg BW. Open versus endoscopic septoplasty: a single-blinded, randomized, controlled trial. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2011;40(Suppl 1):S28-33.

21.Kahveci OK, Miman MC, Yucel A, et al. The efficiency of Nose Obstruction Symptom Evaluation (NOSE) scale on patients with nasal septal deviation. Auris Nasus Laryn 2012;39:275-9.

22.Gandomi B, Bayat A, Kazemei T. Outcomes of septoplasty in young adults: the nasal obstruction septoplasty effectiveness study. Am J Otolaryngol 2010;31:189-92.

23.André RF, Vuyk HD, Ahmed A, et al. Correlation between subjective and objective evaluation of the nasal airway. A systematic review of the highest level of evidence. Clin Otolaryngol 2009;34:518-25.

24.Janiszewska-Olszowska J, Gawrych E, Wędrychowska-Szulc B, et al. Effect of primary correction of nasal septal deformity in complete unilateral cleft lip and palate on the craniofacial morphology. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2013;41:468-72.

25.Stewart MG, Witsell DL, Smith TL, et al. Development and validation of the Nasal Obstruction Symptom Evaluation (NOSE) scale. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2004;130:157-63.

26.Uppal S, Mistry H, Nadig S, et al. Evaluation of patient benefit from nasal septal surgery for nasal obstruction. Auris Nasus Larynx 2005;32:129-37.



Выпуск журнала №-61 Часть-5_ Январь -2025





27.Cottle MH, Loring RM, Fischer GG, et al. The maxilla-premaxilla approach to extensive nasal septum surgery. AMA Arch Otolaryngol 1958;68:301-13.

28.Gupta N. Endoscopic septoplasty. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2005;57:240-3.

44



