COGNITIVE APPROACHES TO METAPHOR IN ENGLISH STYLISTICS: UNDERSTANDING CONCEPTUAL METAPHORS IN LITERARY TEXTS

Husanova Dilshoda Abduganiyevna
Samarqand Davlat Chet tillar instituti magistranti.
Oblakulova Mastura Mizrobovna
filologiya fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD).

Annotation: Stylistic processes in literature play a crucial role in shaping the aesthetic, emotional, and intellectual impact of literary texts. This article explores the significance of stylistic processes from a cognitive perspective, examining how language choices, narrative techniques, and linguistic devices contribute to the reader's understanding and interpretation of literary works. By employing cognitive theories of language and perception, we delve into the intricate ways in which stylistic elements engage readers' mental faculties, evoke imagery, and elicit emotional responses. Through examples drawn from various literary genres and periods, this article illustrates the diverse manifestations of stylistic processes and their profound influence on literary meaning and reception.

Key words: Cognitive approach, stylistic processes, literature, comprehension, interpretation, metaphor, comparison, personification, irony, reading experience.

INTRODUCTION

Metaphor is more than just a linguistic ornament; it is a fundamental mechanism through which we understand and experience the world. The cognitive approach to metaphor, particularly through the lens of Conceptual Metaphor Theory (CMT), revolutionizes our understanding of metaphor by emphasizing its role in human thought and cognition. Rather than being a mere rhetorical device, metaphors are now seen as central to our cognitive processes, influencing how we perceive, think, and communicate. This article delves into how cognitive theories of metaphor are applied in English stylistics, examining their impact on readers' understanding and interpretation of literary texts, with a focus on conceptual metaphors.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature review explores the cognitive approach to studying literature, focusing on the role of stylistic processes in shaping readers' comprehension and interpretation of literary texts. Previous research has highlighted the importance of attention, memory, understanding, and inference in the cognitive reading process, as well as the cognitive impact of stylistic devices such as metaphor, comparison, personification, and irony.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative research methodology to investigate the cognitive impact of stylistic processes in literature. Data is collected through textual analysis of selected literary texts, supplemented by cognitive assessments and reader responses. The methodology integrates insights from cognitive psychology, linguistics, and literary theory to provide a comprehensive understanding of how readers engage with stylistic elements in literature.

Findings: The findings reveal that stylistic processes play a significant role in shaping readers' cognitive experiences of literary texts. Metaphors, comparisons, and personifications enhance comprehension by providing vivid imagery and facilitating mental associations. Irony and other rhetorical devices stimulate critical thinking and inference, prompting readers to consider deeper layers of meaning beyond the literal text. Overall, stylistic processes contribute to readers' engagement, emotional resonance, and interpretation of literature.

Overview: This article offers an overview of the cognitive approach to studying literature, with a focus on the cognitive impact of stylistic processes. Drawing on insights from cognitive psychology and literary theory, the article examines how readers engage with literary texts at a cognitive level and explores the role of stylistic devices in shaping readers' comprehension and interpretation.

Participants: Participants in this study include scholars, educators, and students with an interest in literature and cognitive science. Literary texts selected for analysis serve as the primary data source, supplemented by reader responses and cognitive assessments. The study aims to elucidate the cognitive processes involved in reading and to deepen our understanding of the role of stylistic processes in literature.

Procedures: The study follows a multi-stage research process, beginning with the selection of literary texts for analysis. Textual analysis is conducted to identify stylistic processes and their cognitive impact on readers. Reader responses are collected through surveys or interviews to gauge readers' interpretations and emotional responses to the texts. Cognitive assessments may be administered to measure readers' attention, memory, and inferential reasoning skills.

Materials: The materials used in this study include a selection of literary texts representing various genres, styles, and periods. These texts serve as the primary data source for textual analysis and reader responses. Additional materials may include cognitive assessments, survey instruments, and interview protocols designed to measure readers' cognitive engagement with the texts.

Conceptual metaphor theory, developed by George Lakoff and Mark Johnson in their seminal work "Metaphors We Live By" (1980), posits that our conceptual system is largely metaphorical. This means that we understand abstract concepts through more

concrete experiences. In other words, metaphor is not just a matter of language, but a matter of thought.

According to CMT, metaphors allow us to understand one domain of experience (the target domain) in terms of another (the source domain). For example, in the metaphor "Time is money", the abstract concept of time (target domain) is understood in terms of the more concrete concept of money (source domain). This metaphorical mapping influences not just how we speak about time, but how we think about it and act on it (e.g., "saving time," "spending time").

The application of CMT in English stylistics involves analyzing how metaphors are used in literary texts to convey deeper meanings and evoke specific cognitive responses. In stylistics, metaphors are not merely decorative elements; they are tools that shape the reader's mental representation of the text. By examining the metaphors used by an author, we can gain insights into the cognitive processes that underpin the narrative, as well as the cultural and social contexts that influence these processes.
Example 1: Metaphors in Shakespeare's "Macbeth"

Shakespeare's "Macbeth" provides a rich field for exploring conceptual metaphors. One prominent metaphor in the play is the use of darkness to represent evil and moral corruption. Consider the following lines:

"Stars, hide your fires; Let not light see my black and deep desires." (Act 1, Scene 4)

In this metaphor, "light" (associated with goodness and purity) and "darkness" (associated with evil and corruption) are mapped onto the characters' moral states. The metaphor shapes the reader's understanding of Macbeth's internal conflict, as his ambition drives him towards actions, he knows are morally wrong. The cognitive effect of this metaphor is profound, as it not only conveys Macbeth's desire to hide his intentions but also creates an atmosphere of foreboding that permeates the entire play.

In terms of CMT, the metaphor can be understood as part of the larger conceptual metaphor "Morality is Light and Darkness". This metaphor is not unique to Shakespeare but is deeply embedded in Western thought, influencing how readers interpret the play. By using this metaphor, Shakespeare taps into a shared cognitive framework, making the play's themes more accessible and resonant to his audience.
Example 2: Metaphors in Emily Dickinson's poetry

Emily Dickinson's poetry is another fertile ground for examining conceptual metaphors. Dickinson often uses metaphors to explore abstract concepts such as death, time, and immortality. For instance, in her poem "Because I could not stop for Death", she personifies death as a courteous suitor:

"Because I could not stop for death – he kindly stopped for me – the carriage held but just ourselves – and immortality."

Here, the conceptual metaphor "Death is a journey" is central. Dickinson maps the abstract concept of death onto the more concrete experience of a journey, with death personified as a carriage driver. This metaphorical framing shapes the reader's understanding of death, not as something to be feared, but as a natural, almost gentle transition to another state of being.

The metaphor also reflects the cognitive process of understanding the unknown (death) in terms of something known and familiar (a journey). By presenting death in this way, Dickinson provides a comforting and serene perspective on a subject that is often associated with fear and uncertainty. The cognitive impact of this metaphor is to evoke a sense of calm acceptance, encouraging readers to rethink their perceptions of death.

Conceptual metaphors are not universal; they are shaped by cultural and social contexts. This means that the metaphors found in English literature may differ significantly from those in other languages or cultural contexts. For instance, while the "Time is money" metaphor is prevalent in Western cultures, where time is often viewed as a commodity, this metaphor might not resonate as strongly in cultures that have a more fluid or cyclical perception of time.

This cultural variation is crucial in stylistic analysis because it highlights the role of metaphor in reflecting and reinforcing cultural norms and values. In literary texts, metaphors can reveal underlying cultural assumptions and provide insight into the worldview of both the author and the intended audience. Understanding these cultural influences is essential for a deeper comprehension of how metaphors function in different literary contexts.

Metaphors in literary texts do more than convey meaning; they also engage readers on a cognitive level. When readers encounter a metaphor, they must perform a cognitive operation known as "mapping", where they relate the source domain to the target domain. This process can lead to new insights and a deeper understanding of the text. For example, in William Blake's "The Sick Rose", the metaphor "The rose is sick" invites readers to explore the connections between the source domain (illness) and the target domain (the rose). As readers map the characteristics of illness onto the rose, they may interpret the metaphor as a commentary on the corruption of innocence or the destructive nature of love. The cognitive effect of this metaphor is to provoke thought and encourage readers to engage with the text on a deeper level.

Moreover, metaphors can also evoke emotional responses. For instance, the metaphor of death as a journey in Dickinson's poetry can evoke a sense of peace and acceptance, while the darkness metaphor in "Macbeth" can create a feeling of dread and unease. These emotional responses are a key aspect of the cognitive experience of reading, as they shape how readers interpret and connect with the text.

CONCLUSION

The cognitive approach to metaphor in English stylistics offers a powerful framework for understanding how metaphors function in literary texts. By analyzing metaphors through the lens of Conceptual Metaphor Theory, we can uncover the cognitive processes that underpin literary meaning and gain deeper insights into the ways in which metaphors shape readers' understanding and interpretation of texts.

Metaphors are not just linguistic embellishments; they are cognitive tools that reflect and influence our thought processes. In literature, metaphors play a crucial role in conveying complex ideas, evoking emotions, and engaging readers on a cognitive level. By exploring the cognitive aspects of metaphors in English stylistics, we can enrich our appreciation of literature and deepen our understanding of the intricate relationship between language, thought, and culture.

REFERENCES:

https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=qtK9peUAAAAJ&citation_for_view=qtK9peUAAAAJ:u-x6o8ySG0sC

https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=qtK9peUAAAAJ&citation_for_view=qtK9peUAAAAJ:Tyk-4Ss8FVUC

https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=qtK9peUAAAAJ&citation_for_view=qtK9peUAAAAJ:8k81kl-MbHgC

https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=qtK9peUAAAAJ&citation_for_view=qtK9peUAAAAJ:W7OEmFMy1HYC

https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=qtK9peUAAAAJ&citation_for_view=qtK9peUAAAAJ:9yKSN-GCB0IC

https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=qtK9peUAAAAJ&citation_for_view=qtK9peUAAAAJ:IjCSPb-OGe4C

https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=qtK9peUAAAAJ&citation_for_view=qtK9peUAAAAJ:_FxGoFyzp5QC

https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=qtK9peUAAAAJ&citation_for_view=qtK9peUAAAAJ:WF5omc3nYNoC