MINOR AND MAJOR SYNTAX: AN EXPLORATION OF PHRASE AND SENTENCE STRUCTURE

Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi
Xidirova Dilnoza Nurali qizi
Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan
named after Mirzo Ulugbek Faculty of Psychology
Philology and foreign languages

Annotation: This article delves into the distinction between minor and major syntax within linguistic theory, focusing on their application in phrase and sentence structures. Minor syntax encompasses the formation of smaller linguistic units that lack full syntactic independence, while major syntax involves the construction of grammatically complete sentences. The discussion highlights the fundamental categories of syntax: phrases and sentences, analyzing their roles in language comprehension and production.

Key words: minor syntax, major syntax, phrase, sentence structure, syntactic rules and constrains

INTRODUCTION

Syntax, the study of how words and phrases are organized to create meaningful structures, forms a core area of linguistic research. Central to this field is the distinction between minor and major syntax, which delineates the structural levels of language. Minor syntax pertains to sub-sentential elements, such as phrases, which serve as the building blocks of linguistic expression. Major syntax, on the other hand, focuses on the formation of complete sentences, the fundamental units of communication. This article aims to explore the foundational principles of minor and major syntax and their role in shaping linguistic structure. By analyzing the distinctions and connections between phrases and sentences, we gain deeper insight into the mechanisms of language production, comprehension, and evolution. Through this lens, we seek to understand how these syntactic categories reflect the inherent creativity and flexibility of human language.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Minor syntax provides the essential building blocks for sentence construction, allowing phrases to combine and fulfill various grammatical roles. By organizing words into meaningful units, minor syntax enables speakers to convey complex ideas succinctly and flexibly. Understanding minor syntax is fundamental in language learning, natural language processing (NLP), and linguistics, as it forms the basis of

sentence structure and meaning. Minor syntax refers to the sub-sentential structures that form smaller units within language, including phrases and fragments. Unlike major syntax, which deals with full sentences, minor syntax focuses on parts of sentences that, on their own, do not constitute complete statements. However, these structures are essential for building full sentences and conveying meaning. Phrases are groups of words centered around a "head" (the main word) that function as a single unit within a sentence. Each type of phrase serves a unique grammatical role.

Types of Phrases:

a. Noun Phrase (NP): Focuses on a noun or pronoun (e.g., the big cat).

b. Verb Phrase (VP): Centers around a verb and describes actions or states (e.g., is running quickly).

c. Adjective Phrase (AdjP): Built around an adjective (e.g., extremely tall).

d. Adverb Phrase (AdvP): Built around an adverb (e.g., very slowly).

e. Prepositional Phrase (PP): Starts with a preposition and includes a noun phrase (e.g., under the bridge).

These are clause-like structures that may be missing elements required for a full sentence, such as a subject or verb. Common in spoken language and informal writing, they serve to convey meaning more succinctly (e.g., On my way!).

Elliptical Constructions: Often omit predictable elements (e.g., Want some? instead of Do you want some?), relying on context for interpretation.

Interjections and Exclamations: Words or phrases that convey emotion but are not syntactically integrated into a sentence (e.g., Wow! or Oh no!). Every phrase has a head (the core word) and may include modifiers that provide additional details about the head. For example, in the noun phrase the very tall tree, tree is the head, while the very tall are modifiers. Minor syntax rules govern the agreement (such as number or gender) between heads and modifiers and determine the correct order of words within a phrase (e.g., the three large books instead of three the books large).

Major syntax focuses on the structural organization and rules governing the formation of complete sentences, which are the primary units of meaningful communication in language. Unlike minor syntax, which deals with sub-sentential elements like phrases, major syntax examines how these elements combine to create grammatically complete and semantically coherent expressions. A sentence is a syntactic unit consisting of a subject and a predicate, with additional elements such as objects, complements, and adverbials depending on the type of sentence.

Subject: The noun or noun phrase that performs or is described by the predicate (e.g., The cat).

Predicate: The verb phrase that describes an action, state, or occurrence involving the subject (e.g., is sleeping).

Types of Sentences

a.   Declarative Sentences: State facts or provide information (e.g., The sun is shining.).

b.   Interrogative Sentences: Ask questions (e.g., Is the sun shining?).

c.   Imperative Sentences: Express commands or requests (e.g., Close the door.).

d.   Exclamatory Sentences: Convey strong emotions (e.g., What a beautiful day!).

Sentences are composed of smaller units (phrases and clauses) arranged hierarchically. For instance, in the sentence The boy kicked the ball, the noun phrase The boy and the verb phrase kicked the ball work together to form the complete sentence. Words within sentences are linked by grammatical dependencies, where certain words (e.g., verbs) govern the structure and meaning of others (e.g., objects or complements).

a.   Simple Sentences: Contain one independent clause (e.g., She reads books.).

b.   Compound Sentences: Combine two or more independent clauses using coordinating conjunctions (e.g., She reads books, and he writes stories.).

c.   Complex Sentences: Contain an independent clause and one or more subordinate clauses (e.g., She reads books because she loves learning.).

d.   Compound-Complex Sentences: Combine features of compound and complex sentences (e.g., She reads books because she loves learning, and he writes stories.).

Syntactic Rules and Constraints: Major syntax dictates the sequence of components in a sentence. For instance, in English, the standard word order is Subject-Verb-Object (SVO) (e.g., John ate the apple). Grammatical agreement ensures consistency between subjects and verbs (e.g., He runs vs. They run) and between nouns and modifiers (e.g., a big dog vs. big dogs). Major syntax organizes linguistic elements into complete, interpretable expressions that convey ideas, emotions, and instructions. Through sentence structure, major syntax ensures that ideas are presented logically and connected effectively within discourse. By enabling the construction of simple, compound, and complex sentences, major syntax allows for the nuanced expression of intricate thoughts. Helps learners understand sentence patterns and develop fluency and provides a framework for machine learning models to parse and generate human-like sentences. It offers insights into cross-linguistic differences in sentence structure and grammar. In major syntax, sentences are analyzed through hierarchical structures, such as constituency trees and dependency graphs, illustrating relationships among words and phrases. For example, in The boy kicked the ball, the subject (The boy) and the

object (the ball) depend on the verb (kicked). The transition from minor to major syntax is a key aspect of language production. Phrases combine to form larger syntactic units, culminating in sentences. Noam Chomsky's theory emphasizes the generative rules that produce all possible grammatical sentences in a language. Minor and major syntax correspond to different stages in the derivational process, from deep structure (phrases) to surface structure (sentences). This approach treats phrases and sentences as constructions with inherent meaning, challenging traditional minor-major syntax distinctions by highlighting their unified cognitive representation.

CONCLUSION

The distinction between minor and major syntax underpins our understanding of language structure and use. While minor syntax focuses on the building blocks of language, major syntax orchestrates these elements into coherent expressions. Together, they form the foundation of linguistic communication, reflecting the complexity and elegance of human language. In summary, the study of syntax, particularly the distinction between minor and major syntax, provides essential insights into how language structures meaning. Minor syntax refers to the smaller, more flexible units such as phrases, while major syntax encompasses the broader, more rigid constructs of sentences. The ability to differentiate between these two categories allows for a deeper understanding of linguistic organization and the functional roles each component plays in communication. By examining how phrases combine to form sentences, and how various syntactical rules govern this structure, linguists gain a clearer perspective on language formation, processing, and acquisition. Ultimately, understanding these syntactical distinctions enriches our comprehension of language as a complex, dynamic system that enables effective communication across diverse contexts.

REFERENCES:

1. Chomsky, Noam. (1957). Syntactic Structures. Mouton.
2. Carnie, Andrew. (2013). Syntax: A Generative Introduction (3rd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
3. Huddleston, Rodney, & Pullum, Geoffrey K. (2002). The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language. Cambridge University Press.
4. Jackendoff, Ray. (2002). Foundations of Language: Brain and Structure of Language (Vol. 1). Oxford University Press.
5. Radford, Andrew. (2004). English Syntax: An Introduction. Cambridge University Press.
6. Haegeman, Liliane. (1994). Introduction to Government and Binding Theory. Blackwell.
7. Collins, Chris. (2017). Singular They: The Emergence of a Gender-Neutral Pronoun. Cambridge University Press.
8. Sells, Peter. (1985). Lectures on Contemporary Syntactic Theory. CSLI Publications.