

TYPES OF SYNTACTIC RELATIONS

Jizzakh branch of the National
University of
Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek

The faculty of Psychology,
department of Foreign languages
Phylology and foreign languages

Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi

Student of group 302-21:

Mardiqulova Yulduz Abdujalil qizi

Annotation: This paper explores the major types of syntactic relations, including coordination, subordination, and dependency, and their roles in sentence construction. Coordination involves the linking of equal syntactic units, subordination establishes hierarchical relationships between clauses, and dependency theory focuses on head-dependent structures. Through a detailed examination of these relations, the study highlights their syntactic functions, semantic implications, and contributions to sentence coherence. Additionally, cross-linguistic comparisons illustrate the universality and variability of syntactic relations across languages. Understanding these relations not only deepens our knowledge of grammar but also enhances linguistic proficiency and the ability to analyze complex sentence structures. This study serves as a resource for linguists, language educators, and learners seeking a comprehensive understanding of syntactic organization.

Keywords: syntactic relations, coordination, subordination, dependency sentence structure, syntax, clause hierarchy, grammatical relationships.

Syntactic relations are essential to the organization of sentences, shaping the connections between words and phrases. This section examines the three main types of

syntactic relations—coordination, subordination, and dependency—along with their defining characteristics and linguistic significance.

Coordination

Coordination occurs when two or more elements of equal grammatical rank are linked together, typically using coordinating conjunctions such as *and*, *or*, or *but*. Coordinated elements share the same syntactic role within a sentence, as seen in examples like *She likes coffee and tea*. Here, *coffee* and *tea* are of equal status as objects of the verb *likes*. Coordination allows for the construction of compound sentences and phrases, expanding the complexity of expression while maintaining grammatical symmetry.

Examples:

She bought apples and oranges. Apples and oranges are coordinated objects of the verb *bought*.

He enjoys reading books and watching movies. Reading books and watching movies are coordinated gerund phrases functioning as objects.

I wanted to go hiking, but it started to rain. Two independent clauses are coordinated using *but*.

Subordination

Subordination, in contrast, establishes a hierarchical relationship between clauses, where one clause (the subordinate or dependent clause) is syntactically and semantically dependent on another (the main clause). Subordination often employs subordinating conjunctions such as *because*, *if*, or *although*, as in *She stayed home because it was raining*. The subordinate clause (*because it was raining*) provides additional context or explanation for the main clause. Subordination is fundamental to complex sentence construction, enabling nuanced expression of time, cause, condition, and contrast.

Examples:

She left early because she was tired. *She was tired* is a subordinate clause explaining the reason for leaving.

I will call you when I arrive. When I arrive is a subordinate clause specifying the time of calling.

If it rains, we will stay indoors. If it rains is a subordinate clause stating the condition for staying indoors.

Dependency

The dependency approach to syntactic relations focuses on the relationships between a head and its dependents within a sentence. In dependency grammar, the head is the central word that determines the syntactic behavior of other elements. For example, in the phrase beautiful flowers, flowers is the head noun, and beautiful is its dependent adjective. Dependency relations extend to clauses, as seen in She said that she was happy, where said acts as the head verb, governing the dependent clause that she was happy. Dependency theory emphasizes the hierarchical and functional connections between words, offering a versatile framework for analyzing sentence structure.

Examples:

The beautiful flowers bloomed. Flowers is the head noun; the and beautiful are dependents modifying it.

She quickly finished her homework. Finished is the head verb; quickly and her homework are dependents (adverb and object, respectively).

Cross-Linguistic Perspectives

While coordination, subordination, and dependency are universal concepts, their realization varies across languages. For example, languages like Japanese heavily rely on subordination through the use of participial forms and auxiliary verbs, while English frequently uses conjunctions. Similarly, dependency relations may appear differently in languages with free word order, such as Latin, where morphological case markers signal syntactic roles. These variations underline the adaptability of syntactic relations in accommodating diverse grammatical systems.

Significance of Syntactic Relations

Understanding syntactic relations is critical for linguistic analysis, language teaching, and learning. These relations provide insight into sentence construction, meaning, and coherence, offering tools to analyze and produce grammatically accurate and stylistically rich language. Moreover, they enhance the ability to compare languages and explore their structural diversity, contributing to fields such as typology, translation, and computational linguistics. By investigating coordination, subordination, and dependency, this paper highlights the intricate mechanisms underlying syntactic organization and their implications for effective communication.

Conclusion

Syntactic relations are the foundational elements of sentence structure, shaping how words, phrases, and clauses interact to form coherent and meaningful expressions. The three primary types—coordination, subordination, and dependency—each play a distinct role in organizing language. Coordination provides balance by linking elements of equal grammatical rank, subordination enables the construction of complex sentences through hierarchical relationships, and dependency highlights the functional connections between heads and their dependents. The study of these relations not only enhances our understanding of linguistic structure but also offers insights into cross-linguistic variation, demonstrating both the universality and diversity of syntactic patterns. This knowledge is invaluable for language learners, educators, and linguists, as it aids in the development of grammatical competence and analytical skills. In conclusion, syntactic relations are essential to the organization and interpretation of language. By mastering these relationships, one can better appreciate the intricate mechanisms that govern sentence formation and contribute to effective communication.

REFERENCE

1. Biber, D., Conrad, S., & Leech, G. (2002). Longman grammar of spoken and written English. Pearson Education.
2. Celce-Murcia, M., & Larsen-Freeman, D. (1999). The grammar book: An ESL/EFL teacher's course. Heinle & Heinle.

3. Crystal, D. (2003). *The Cambridge encyclopedia of the English language* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
4. Huddleston, R., & Pullum, G. K. (2002). *The Cambridge grammar of the English language*. Cambridge University Press.
5. Jones, D. (2002). *An English pronouncing dictionary* (15th ed.). Cambridge University Press.
6. Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1985). *A comprehensive grammar of the English language*. Longman.
7. Swann, M. (2005). *Practical English usage* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.
8. Teshaboyeva, N., & Mamayqubova, S. (2020). COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH TO LANGUAGE TEACHING. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 409-414).
9. Teshaboyeva, N. (2020). LINGUISTIC PERSONALITY, ITS STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE NEW PERSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 415-420).
10. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2019). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH LITERATURE INTESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. In СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТЕХНОЛОГИИ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИИ (pp. 82-84).
11. Хидирова, Д., & Тешабоева, Н. (2022). Pedagogical conditions for the development of the healthy thinking in students. *Zamonaviy innovatsion tadqiqotlarning dolzarb muammolari va rivojlanish tendensiyalari: yechimlar va istiqbollari*, 1(1), 120-122.
12. Gaybullayeva, N. D. K., & Kizi, T. N. Z. (2022). THE ROLE OF INNOVATIVE METHODS FOR LISTENING COMPREHENSION IN TEACHING LANGUAGE LEARNERS FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND MAINLY ENGLISH. *Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS)*, 2(10), 8-10.
13. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi, Jurayev Muhammadrahim Murod o'g'li, & Mamirova Munisa Rajab qizi. (2021). *Language Learning Culturally and the Role*

- of Literature in Teaching Process. Central Asian Journal of Theoretical and Applied Science, 2(3), 1-5. Retrieved from <https://www.cajotas.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJOTAS/article/view/84>
14. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF TOURISM IN PRESENT DAY. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).
15. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE MODERN INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGES. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).
16. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Adjective word group and its types. In "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 59-61).
17. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Modifications of Consonants in Connected speech. In "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 7-9).
18. Teshaboyeva, N., & Rayimberdiyev, S. (2023, May). THE IMPORTANCE OF USING MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHING ENGLISH CLASSES. In Academic International Conference on Multi-Disciplinary Studies and Education (Vol. 1, No. 8, pp. 149-153).
19. Nafisa, T., & Marina, S. (2023). TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ENGLISH VOCABULARY IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 465-469.
20. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla kizi, & Akramov Ibrohimjon. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 109–113. Retrieved from <https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itfttdm/article/view/3187>
21. Teshaboyeva, N., & Yakubova, N. (2023). CHANGES OF MEANING OF WORDS. Центральноеазиатский журнал образования и инноваций, 2(12), 126-129.
22. Sharifova Dinora Tohir qizi, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa. (2023). " NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES". Новости образования: исследование в

- XXI веке, 2(16), 292–297. извлечено от <http://nauchniyimpuls.ru/index.php/noiv/article/view/13128>
23. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla kizi, & Akramov Ibrohimjon. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 109–113. Retrieved from <https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itfttdm/article/view/3187>
24. Qodirova Aziza Yunusovna, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi. (2023). "VERBS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES". Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 280–283. извлечено от <http://nauchniyimpuls.ru/index.php/noiv/article/view/13126>
25. Tuxtayeva Aziza Ilhom qizi, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa. (2023). Word Formation: Compounding . "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023", 1(12), 113–115. Retrieved from <https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/cusr/article/view/3185>
26. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla, & Iskandarova Sarvinoz Shukurullo qizi. (2023). THE CLASSIFICATION OF SYNONYMS AND THEIR SPECIFIC FEATURES. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 126–131. Retrieved from <https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itfttdm/article/view/3191>
27. Тешабоева, Н. (2023). Teaching writing as a major part of productive skills in mixed ability classes . Информатика и инженерные технологии, 1(2), 652–656. извлечено от <https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/computer-engineering/article/view/25759>
28. Teshaboyeva, N., & Yakubova, N. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. Development of pedagogical technologies in modern sciences, 2(12), 187-192.
29. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). Compound sentences in the English language. Yangi O'zbekiston taraqqiyotida tadqiqotlarni o'rni va rivojlanish omillari, 2(2), 68-70.

30. Nafisa, T. (2023). THE USA ECONOMY, INDUSTRY, MANUFACTURING AND NATURAL RESOURCES OF GREAT BRITAIN. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENTLY SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHER'S THEORY, 1(9), 94-97.
31. Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Secondary ways of word formation. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 109-112).
32. Nafisa, T. (2023). VOWELS AND THEIR MODIFACATIONS. Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 298-305.
33. Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Secondary ways of word formation. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 109-112).
34. Nafisa, T. (2023). THE EDUCATION SYSTEM OF THE USA: PRESCHOOL EDUCATION, SECONDARY AND HIGHER EDUCATION, SCHOOL FORMS. The Role of Exact Sciences in the Era of Modern Development, 1(6), 53-57.
35. Qizi, T. N. Z., & Umedovich, M. Y. (2023). AMERICAN-BASED PRONUNCIATION STANDARDS OF ENGLISH. Scientific Impulse, 2(15), 563-567.
36. Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Word Formation: Compounding. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 113-115).
37. Nafisa, T. (2023). NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES. Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 292-297.