

THE CLASSIFICATION OF SYLLABIC STRUCTURE IN ENGLISH WORDS.

*Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan
named after Mirzo Ulugbek
The faculty of Psychology, department of Foreign languages
Phylology and foreign languages
Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi
Student of group 302-21
Mavdurova Sabohat Rasul qizi*

Annotation: The basic ideas of English syllabic structure are examined in this article, which also explains how syllables serve as the units of meaning and pronunciation. The different syllable types—open and closed—and how they affect phonetic patterns are covered in the article. It highlights basic English syllable forms, like CV (consonant-vowel) and CVC (consonant-vowel-consonant), and looks at how they affect spoken language's word stress and rhythm. The paper also discusses the significance of syllabic organization for literacy and language acquisition, showing how syllable comprehension improves spelling and reading. All things considered, the paper encourages more research into the connection between syllable structure and language processing and is a useful tool for linguists, teachers, and students interested in the phonological features of English.

Key words: consonant sounds, vowel sounds, syllables, closed, covered, uncovered, sonorous, distinctive, perspectives, recognitive, syllabic structure.

Phonemes are typically uttered in sequence rather than alone. Syllables are the smallest units of sounding speech and are used to break up sound sequences. A syllable can be made up of one or more phonemes, such as a word-final sonorant that comes before a consonant or any vowel, either alone or in combination with other consonants. Syllabic speech sounds are those that can be combined to make syllables. The peak of prominence, or the center of the syllable, is made up of this sound, which is the most sonorous in the syllable. Non-syllabic speech sounds are those that cannot be formed into syllables. The onset and coda are the terms used to describe the consonants that come before and after the peak, respectively.

The letter V can be used to graphically depict a vowel and the letter C to represent a consonant in a word's syllabic structure. There is a specific structure to each syllable. Covered is a syllable that starts with a consonant, and uncovered is a syllable that starts with a vowel. A syllable is referred to as closed if it ends in a consonant and as open if it ends in a vowel. Four primary categories of syllables exist: There is a

specific structure to each syllable. Covered is a syllable that starts with a consonant, and uncovered is a syllable that starts with a vowel. A syllable is referred to as closed if it ends in a consonant and as open if it ends in a vowel. Four primary categories of syllables exist:

V – uncovered, open, or fully open, e.g. or [o:], I [aɪ];

VC – uncovered, closed, e.g. it [ɪt], add [æd];

CV – covered, closed, e.g. see [si:], no[nou];

CVC – covered, closed, or fully closed, e.g. catch [kætʃ], pit [pɪt].

The most basic and frequent syllabic structure in English is of the CVC type; in Russian, CV types are more prevalent than VC types. The number of syllabic models in English and Russian is nearly equal, with 23 and 21, respectively. Despite the fact that both languages use the identical structures, they occur at different frequencies. While they are frequently used in English, some of them are incredibly uncommon in Russian. The possibility of /l,m,n,r/ becoming syllabic following a consonant is a peculiarity of the English language. Sonorants can create the following types of syllabic structures: SC: [dɪd-nt], [plez-nt], CSC: [nei-fnz], [ou-pnz], CS: [teɪ-bl], [ga:-dn], and S: [æp-l], [bʌt-n]. Sonorants are not syllabic in Russian.

In English, there can be no more than three consonants before the syllable peak: /s/+p,t,k/+r,l,w,j/, such as street, squash, and splash. Numerous hypotheses attempt to explain the mechanism of syllable division and creation. R.H. Stetson's "expiratory theory," which holds that every syllable corresponds to a single expiration, is the earliest theory. When a word is pronounced, its syllable count is equal to the number of such expirations. The word's syllabic boundary is indicated by the beginning of a new expiry.

Every speaking sound has a unique sonority by nature. Voiceless stops are the least sonorous, while open back vowels are the most. Otto Jespersen used seven sonority levels to categorize all spoken sounds.

- (1) vowels;
- (2) semi-vowels /j,w,ɥ/;
- (3) sonorants /l,r,m,n,ŋ/;
- (4) voiced fricatives /v,z,ʒ,ʒ/;
- (5) voiced stops /b,d,g/;
- (6) voiceless fricatives /f,θ,s,ʃ,h/;
- (7) voiceless stops /p,t,k/.

The most sonorous sounds in each sequence usually constitute the syllable peak. For instance, the word "popular" contains three syllables because it is made up of three peaks. The inability of the sonority hypothesis to describe the mechanism of syllable division and production is another reason for its criticism. Furthermore, this approach is useless for figuring out how many syllables words like "going," "highest," "speak,"

etc. have. The sonority theory states that because there is only one peak (or rather plateau) of prominence, the words "going" and "highest" are made up of a single syllable. However, the words actually have two syllables.

Attached to a vowel is the consonant's most energetic component. The word "ten," for example, has the consonants /t/ and /n/. Because its end is joined to the vowel, the consonant /t/ is at last strong. Due to the vowel linked to its commencement, the consonant /n/ is initially strong. An arc, known as an arc of muscular tension, can be used to graphically depict the word /ten/. /t/ is weak at the beginning and becomes powerful in the end. Up until the vowel /e/ produces its culmination, the muscular tension builds. After that, the tense muscles start to relax. At the beginning, the /n/ sound is still powerful, but by the conclusion, it is much weaker.

The weakest consonant is where the syllabic border is located. The first consonants of a syllable are initially weak. Lastly, a syllable ends with a weak consonant. Only at the intersection of two syllables can double-peaked consonants appear, as in /gud-deɪ/, /mɪs-spel/, /ʌn-noun/, etc. It is important to distinguish between orthographic and phonetic syllables. They do not always coincide (art-ist-ic - /ɑ:tɪstɪk/, driv-er - /draɪ-vɜː/, lat-er - /leɪ-tɜː/), but they do occasionally (ear-ly - /ɜː-li/, late-ly - /leɪ-li/). In writing, morphological rules determine how words are divided into syllables. A prefix, suffix, or root should be the part of a word that is separated.

English's syllabic structure serves three primary purposes: 1) constitutive; 2) distinctive; and 3) recognitive. Since syllables make up the material shapes of all words, phrases, and sentences, they serve the constitutive role. Differences in syllable division and development are part of the unique function of the syllabic structure. One word (or phrase, or sentence) may be distinguished from another by the presence or absence of a syllable in the same position, as well as by distinct syllabic boundaries. The following are some phonological oppositions between a syllable in the same location in a minimal pair and its absence: /sli:p/ - /ɜː'sli:p/, /dri:m/ - /dri:mi/, /bet/ - /betɜː/

The best syllabic border facilitates the recognition of words, phrases, and sentences, demonstrating the syllabic structure's recognitive function. Compare the following: Pronunciation accuracy Pronunciation error /haep-ɪ/ /hæ-pɪ/ glad /stænd-ʌp/ /stæn-dʌp/ Get up! /ɜː-næpl/ /ɜː-næpl/ an apple /ɜːt-eɪt/ /ɜː-teɪt/ at eight. The following outcomes arise from the breach of the recognitive function: 1) a strong foreign accent is produced by incorrect syllable division; 2) it gives native speakers a humorous impression; 3) It interferes with communication.

Conclusion

The English language's syllabic structure is essential to comprehending its phonetic and rhythmic patterns. Words are made up of syllables, which also affect pronunciation, stress levels, and fluency in general. The basic syllable structure, which

consists of an onset, nucleus, and coda, demonstrates the intricacy and adaptability of English phonology. Speech therapy, phonetic analysis, and language instruction are just a few of the linguistic applications that benefit from an understanding of syllabic organization, which eventually improves language comprehension and communication.

REFERENCES

1. Brentari, Diane. 1990. Theoretical foundations of American Sign Language phonology. Doctoral dissertation, University of Chicago, Chicago.
2. Corina, David P. 1990. Reassessing the role of sonority in syllable structure: Evidence from visual gestural language. In *CLS 26-II: Papers from the parasession on the syllable in phonetics and phonology*, ed. Michael Ziolkowski, Manuela Noske, and Karen Deaton, 33–43. Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society.
3. Johnson, Robert E. and Scott K. Liddell. 2010. Toward a phonetic representation of signs: Sequentiality and contrast. *Sign Language Studies* 11(2): 241–274.
4. Liddell, Scott K. 1984. THINK and BELIEVE: Sequentiality in American Sign Language. *Language* 60(2): 372–399.
5. Liddell, Scott K. and Robert E. Johnson. 1986. American Sign Language compound formation processes, lexicalization, and phonological remnants. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 4(4): 445—513.
6. Liddell, Scott K. and Robert E. Johnson. 1989. American Sign Language: The phonological base. *Sign Language Studies* 64: 195–278.
7. Mandel, Mark. 1981. Phonotactics and morphophonology in American Sign Language. Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Berkeley.
8. Perlmutter, David M. 1992. Sonority and syllable structure in American Sign Language. *Linguistic Inquiry* 23(3): 407–422.
9. Ridouane, Rachid. 2014. Tashlhiyt Berber. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* 44(2): 207–221.
10. Sandler, Wendy. 1986. The spreading hand autosegment of American Sign Language. *Sign Language Studies* 50(1): 1–28.
11. Teshaboyeva, N., & Mamayoqubova, S. (2020). COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH TO LANGUAGE TEACHING. In *МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ* (pp. 409-414).
12. Teshaboyeva, N. (2020). LINGUISTIC PERSONALITY, ITS STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE NEW PERSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS. In *МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ* (pp. 415-420).
13. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2019). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH LITERATURE IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. In *СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТЕХНОЛОГИИ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИИ* (pp. 82-84).

14. Хидирова, Д., & Тешабоева, Н. (2022). Pedagogical conditions for the development of the healthy thinking in students. Zamonaviy innovatsion tadqiqotlarning dolzarb muammolari va rivojlanish tendensiyalari: yechimlar va istiqbollar, 1(1), 120-122.
15. Gaybullayeva, N. D. K., & Kizi, T. N. Z. (2022). THE ROLE OF INNOVATIVE METHODS FOR LISTENING COMPREHENSION IN TEACHING LANGUAGE LEARNERS FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND MAINLY ENGLISH. Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS), 2(10), 8-10.
16. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE MODERN INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGES. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).
17. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Adjective word group and its types. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 59-61).
18. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Modifications of Consonants in Connected speech. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 7-9).
19. Teshaboyeva, N., & Rayimberdiyev, S. (2023, May). THE IMPORTANCE OF USING MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHING ENGLISH CLASSES. In Academic International Conference on Multi-Disciplinary Studies and Education (Vol. 1, No. 8, pp. 149-153).
20. Nafisa, T., & Marina, S. (2023). TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ENGLISH VOCABULARY IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 465-469.